### **Robust ML Training with Conditional Gradients**

#### Sebastian Pokutta

Technische Universität Berlin and Zuse Institute Berlin

pokutta@math.tu-berlin.de @spokutta

#### CO@Work 2020 Summer School September, 2020



Berlin Mathematics Research Center



# Opportunities in Berlin

Shameless plug

#### Postdoc and PhD positions in optimization/ML.



#### At Zuse Institute Berlin and TU Berlin.

# What is this talk about?

Introduction

Can we train, e.g., Neural Networks so that they are (more) robust to noise and adversarial attacks?

## What is this talk about?

Introduction

# Can we train, e.g., Neural Networks so that they are (more) robust to noise and adversarial attacks?

#### Outline

- A simple example
- The basic setup of supervised Machine Learning
- Stochastic Gradient Descent
- Stochastic Conditional Gradient Descent

(Hyperlinked) References are not exhaustive; check references contained therein. Statements are simplified for the sake of exposition.

A simple example

Consider the following simple learning problem, a.k.a. linear regression:

#### Given:

Set of points  $X \doteq \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ Vector  $y \doteq (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

#### Find:

Linear function  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}\theta \approx \mathbf{y}_{i} \qquad \forall i \in [k],$$

or in matrix form:

$$X\theta \approx y$$
.



A simple example

Consider the following simple learning problem, a.k.a. linear regression:

Given:

Set of points  $X \doteq \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ Vector  $y \doteq (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

Find: Linear function  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\theta \approx \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}} \quad \forall \mathbf{i} \in [\mathbf{k}],$$

or in matrix form:

$$X\theta \approx y$$

The search for the best  $\theta$  can be naturally cast as an optimization problem:

$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{i \in [k]} |x_i \theta - y_i|^2 = \min_{\theta} ||X\theta - y||_2^2$$
 (linReg)

**Empirical Risk Minimization** 

More generally, interested in the Empirical Risk Minimization problem:

$$\min_{\theta} L(\theta) \doteq \min_{\theta} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(x,\theta), y).$$
 (ERM)

**Empirical Risk Minimization** 

More generally, interested in the Empirical Risk Minimization problem:

$$\min_{\theta} L(\theta) \doteq \min_{\theta} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(x,\theta), y).$$
 (ERM)

The ERM approximates the General Risk Minimization problem:

$$\min_{\theta} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(\theta) \doteq \min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\in\widehat{\mathcal{D}}} \,\ell(f(x,\theta),y). \tag{GRM}$$

**Empirical Risk Minimization** 

More generally, interested in the Empirical Risk Minimization problem:

$$\min_{\theta} L(\theta) \doteq \min_{\theta} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(x,\theta), y).$$
 (ERM)

The ERM approximates the General Risk Minimization problem:

$$\min_{\theta} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(\theta) \doteq \min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\in\widehat{\mathcal{D}}} \ell(f(x,\theta), y).$$
 (GRM)

**Note:** If  $\mathcal{D}$  is chosen large enough, under relatively mild assumptions, a solution to (ERM) is a good approximation to a solution to (GRM):

$$\widehat{L}(\theta) \leq L(\theta) + \sqrt{\frac{\log|\Theta| + \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{|\mathcal{D}|}},$$

with probability  $1 - \delta$ . This bound is typically very loose.

[ e.g., Suriya Gunasekar' lecture notes] [The Elements of Statistical Learning, Hastie et al]

**Empirical Risk Minimization: Examples** 

### 1. Linear Regression $\ell(z_i, y_i) \doteq |z_i - y_i|^2$ and $z_i = f(\theta, x_i) \doteq x_i \theta$

**Empirical Risk Minimization: Examples** 

- 1. Linear Regression  $\ell(z_i, y_i) \doteq |z_i - y_i|^2$  and  $z_i = f(\theta, x_i) \doteq x_i \theta$
- 2. Classification / Logistic Regression over classes C  $\ell(z_i, y_i) \doteq -\sum_{c \in [C]} y_{i,c} \log z_{i,c}$  and, e.g.,  $z_i = f(\theta, x_i) \doteq x_i \theta$  (or a neural network)

**Empirical Risk Minimization: Examples** 

- 1. Linear Regression  $\ell(z_i, y_i) \doteq |z_i - y_i|^2$  and  $z_i = f(\theta, x_i) \doteq x_i \theta$
- 2. Classification / Logistic Regression over classes C  $\ell(z_i, y_i) \doteq -\sum_{c \in [C]} y_{i,c} \log z_{i,c}$  and, e.g.,  $z_i = f(\theta, x_i) \doteq x_i \theta$  (or a neural network)
- 3. Support Vector Machines

 $\ell(z_i, y_i) \doteq y_i \max(0, 1 - z_i) + (1 - y_i) \max(0, 1 + z_i) \text{ and } z_i = f(\theta, x_i) \doteq x_i \theta$ 

**Empirical Risk Minimization: Examples** 

- 1. Linear Regression  $\ell(z_i, y_i) \doteq |z_i - y_i|^2$  and  $z_i = f(\theta, x_i) \doteq x_i \theta$
- 2. Classification / Logistic Regression over classes C  $\ell(z_i, y_i) \doteq -\sum_{c \in [C]} y_{i,c} \log z_{i,c}$  and, e.g.,  $z_i = f(\theta, x_i) \doteq x_i \theta$  (or a neural network)
- 3. Support Vector Machines  $\ell(z_i, y_i) \doteq y_i \max(0, 1 z_i) + (1 y_i) \max(0, 1 + z_i)$  and  $z_i = f(\theta, x_i) \doteq x_i \theta$

#### 4. Neural Networks

 $\ell(z_i, y_i)$  some loss function and  $z_i = f(\theta, x_i)$  neural network with weights  $\theta$ 

...and many more choices and combinations possible.

How to solve Problem (ERM)?

How to solve Problem (ERM)?

Simple idea: Gradient Descent

[see blog for background on conv opt]

$$\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \eta \nabla L(\theta_t)$$
 (GD)

How to solve Problem (ERM)?

Simple idea: Gradient Descent

[see blog for background on conv opt]

$$\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \eta \nabla L(\theta_t)$$
 (GD)

Unfortunately, this might be too expensive if (ERM) has a lot of summands.

How to solve Problem (ERM)?

Simple idea: Gradient Descent

[see blog for background on conv opt]

$$\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \eta \nabla L(\theta_t)$$
 (GD)

Unfortunately, this might be too expensive if (ERM) has a lot of summands.

However, reexamine:

$$\nabla L(\theta) = \nabla \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(x,\theta),y) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \nabla \ell(f(x,\theta),y), \quad (\mathsf{ERMgrad})$$

How to solve Problem (ERM)?

Simple idea: Gradient Descent

[see blog for background on conv opt]

$$\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \eta \nabla L(\theta_t)$$
 (GD)

Unfortunately, this might be too expensive if (ERM) has a lot of summands.

However, reexamine:

$$\nabla L(\theta) = \nabla \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(x,\theta),y) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \nabla \ell(f(x,\theta),y), \quad (\mathsf{ERMgrad})$$

Thus if we sample  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}$  uniformly at random, then

$$\nabla L(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}} \nabla \ell(f(\mathbf{x}, \theta), \mathbf{y})$$
 (gradEst)

This leads to Stochastic Gradient Descent

$$\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \eta \nabla \ell(f(x, \theta_t), y) \quad \text{with } (x, y) \sim \mathcal{D},$$
 (SGD)

one of the most-used algorithm for ML training (together with its many variants).

This leads to Stochastic Gradient Descent

 $\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \eta \nabla \ell(f(x, \theta_t), y) \quad \text{with } (x, y) \sim \mathcal{D},$  (SGD)

one of the most-used algorithm for ML training (together with its many variants).

Typical variants include

• Batch versions. Rather than just taking one stochastic gradient, sample and average a mini batch. This also reduces variance of the gradient estimator.

This leads to Stochastic Gradient Descent

 $\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \eta \nabla \ell(f(x, \theta_t), y) \quad \text{with } (x, y) \sim \mathcal{D},$  (SGD)

one of the most-used algorithm for ML training (together with its many variants).

Typical variants include

- Batch versions. Rather than just taking one stochastic gradient, sample and average a mini batch. This also reduces variance of the gradient estimator.
- Learning rate schedules. To ensure convergence the learning rate  $\eta$  is dynamically managed.

This leads to Stochastic Gradient Descent

 $\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \eta \nabla \ell(f(x, \theta_t), y) \quad \text{with } (x, y) \sim \mathcal{D},$  (SGD)

one of the most-used algorithm for ML training (together with its many variants).

Typical variants include

- Batch versions. Rather than just taking one stochastic gradient, sample and average a mini batch. This also reduces variance of the gradient estimator.
- Learning rate schedules. To ensure convergence the learning rate *η* is dynamically managed.
- Adaptive Variants and Momentum. RMSProp, Adagrad, Adadelta, Adam, ...

This leads to Stochastic Gradient Descent

 $\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \theta_t - \eta \nabla \ell(f(x, \theta_t), y) \quad \text{with } (x, y) \sim \mathcal{D},$  (SGD)

one of the most-used algorithm for ML training (together with its many variants).

Typical variants include

- Batch versions. Rather than just taking one stochastic gradient, sample and average a mini batch. This also reduces variance of the gradient estimator.
- Learning rate schedules. To ensure convergence the learning rate *η* is dynamically managed.
- Adaptive Variants and Momentum. RMSProp, Adagrad, Adadelta, Adam, ...
- Variance Reduction. Compute exact gradient once in a while as reference point, e.g., SVRG.

[for an overview of variants: blog of Sebastian Ruder]

#### A comparison between different variants Stochastic Gradient Descent



[Graphics from blog of Sebastian Ruder; see also for animations]

Recall Problem (ERM):

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(\mathbf{x}, \theta), \mathbf{y}).$$

Recall Problem (ERM):

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{D}} \ell(f(x,\theta),y).$$

In the standard formulation  $\theta$  is unbounded and can get quite large.

Recall Problem (ERM):

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(\mathbf{x}, \theta), \mathbf{y}).$$

In the standard formulation  $\theta$  is unbounded and can get quite large.

Problem. Large  $\theta$  for, e.g., Neural Networks lead to large Lipschitz constants. Trained network becomes sensitive to input noise and perturbations. [Tsuzuku, Sato, Sugiyama, 2018]

Recall Problem (ERM):

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(\mathbf{x}, \theta), \mathbf{y}).$$

In the standard formulation  $\theta$  is unbounded and can get quite large.

Problem. Large  $\theta$  for, e.g., Neural Networks lead to large Lipschitz constants. Trained network becomes sensitive to input noise and perturbations. [Tsuzuku, Sato, Sugiyama, 2018]



Recall Problem (ERM):

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(\mathbf{x}, \theta), \mathbf{y}).$$

In the standard formulation  $\theta$  is unbounded and can get quite large.

Problem. Large  $\theta$  for, e.g., Neural Networks lead to large Lipschitz constants. Trained network becomes sensitive to input noise and perturbations. [Tsuzuku, Sato, Sugiyama, 2018]



Recall Problem (ERM):

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(\mathbf{x}, \theta), \mathbf{y}).$$

In the standard formulation  $\theta$  is unbounded and can get quite large.

Problem. Large  $\theta$  for, e.g., Neural Networks lead to large Lipschitz constants. Trained network becomes sensitive to input noise and perturbations. [Tsuzuku, Sato, Sugiyama, 2018]



(Partial) Solution. Constrained ERM training:

$$\min_{\theta \in \mathsf{P}} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(x,\theta), y), \tag{cERM}$$

where P is a compact convex set.

(Partial) Solution. Constrained ERM training:

$$\min_{\theta \in \mathsf{P}} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \ell(f(x,\theta), y), \tag{cERM}$$

where P is a compact convex set.

Rationelle. Find "better conditioned" local minima  $\theta$ .





[Frank, Wolfe, 1956] [Levitin, Polyak, 1966]



4: 
$$X_{t+1} \leftarrow X_t + \gamma_t (V_t - X_t)$$



[Frank, Wolfe, 1956] [Levitin, Polyak, 1966]

Algorithm Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (FW)

- 1:  $X_0 \in \mathcal{V}$
- 2: **for** *t* = 0 **to** *T* − 1 **do**
- 3:  $V_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in V} \langle \nabla f(x_t), v \rangle$

4: 
$$X_{t+1} \leftarrow X_t + \gamma_t (V_t - X_t)$$



[Frank, Wolfe, 1956] [Levitin, Polyak, 1966]



- 1:  $X_0 \in \mathcal{V}$
- 2: **for** t = 0 **to** T 1 **do**
- 3:  $V_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \nabla f(x_t), v \rangle$

4: 
$$X_{t+1} \leftarrow X_t + \gamma_t (V_t - X_t)$$















[Frank, Wolfe, 1956] [Levitin, Polyak, 1966]



1:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ 2: **for** t = 0 **to** T - 1 **do** 3:  $V_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \nabla f(x_t), v \rangle$ 

4: 
$$X_{t+1} \leftarrow X_t + \gamma_t (V_t - X_t)$$

- 5: end for
  - FW minimizes f over conv(V) by sequentially picking up vertices



[Frank, Wolfe, 1956] [Levitin, Polyak, 1966]

Algorithm Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (FW)

1:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ 2: **for** t = 0 **to** T - 1 **do** 3:  $v_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \nabla f(x_t), v \rangle$ 

4: 
$$X_{t+1} \leftarrow X_t + \gamma_t (V_t - X_t)$$

```
5: end for
```

- FW minimizes *f* over conv(V) by sequentially picking up vertices
- The final iterate x<sub>T</sub> has cardinality at most T + 1



[Frank, Wolfe, 1956] [Levitin, Polyak, 1966]

Algorithm Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (FW)

1:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ 2: **for** t = 0 **to** T - 1 **do** 3:  $v_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \nabla f(x_t), v \rangle$ 

4: 
$$X_{t+1} \leftarrow X_t + \gamma_t (V_t - X_t)$$

```
5: end for
```

- FW minimizes *f* over conv(V) by sequentially picking up vertices
- The final iterate x<sub>T</sub> has cardinality at most T + 1
- Very easy implementation



[Frank, Wolfe, 1956] [Levitin, Polyak, 1966]

Algorithm Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (FW)

1:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ 2: **for** t = 0 **to** T - 1 **do** 3:  $v_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \nabla f(x_t), v \rangle$ 

4: 
$$X_{t+1} \leftarrow X_t + \gamma_t (V_t - X_t)$$

```
5: end for
```

- FW minimizes f over conv(V) by sequentially picking up vertices
- The final iterate x<sub>T</sub> has cardinality at most T + 1
- Very easy implementation
- Algorithm is robust and depends on few parameters



As before choose an unbiased gradient estimator  $\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)] = \nabla f(x_t)$ .

Algorithm Stochastic Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (SFW)

1:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ 2: **for** t = 0 **to** T - 1 **do** 3:  $v_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \nabla f(x_t), v \rangle$ 4:  $x_{t+1} \leftarrow x_t + \gamma_t (v_t - x_t)$ 

As before choose an unbiased gradient estimator  $\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)] = \nabla f(x_t)$ .

Algorithm Stochastic Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (SFW)

1:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ 2: **for** t = 0 **to** T - 1 **do** 3:  $v_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \nabla f(x_t), v \rangle$ 4:  $x_{t+1} \leftarrow x_t + \gamma_t (v_t - x_t)$ 

As before choose an unbiased gradient estimator  $\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)] = \nabla f(x_t)$ .

Algorithm Stochastic Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (SFW)

1:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ 2: for t = 0 to T - 1 do 3:  $v_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \overline{\nabla} f(x_t), v \rangle$ 4:  $x_{t+1} \leftarrow x_t + \gamma_t (v_t - x_t)$ 5: end for

As before choose an unbiased gradient estimator  $\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)] = \nabla f(x_t)$ .

Algorithm Stochastic Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (SFW)

1:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ 2: for t = 0 to T - 1 do 3:  $v_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \nabla f(x_t), v \rangle$ 4:  $x_{t+1} \leftarrow x_t + \gamma_t(v_t - x_t)$ 5: end for

Similarly, many variants available

• Batch versions. Rather than just taking one stochastic gradient, sample and average a mini batch. This also reduces variance of the gradient estimator.

As before choose an unbiased gradient estimator  $\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)] = \nabla f(x_t)$ .

Algorithm Stochastic Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (SFW)

1:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ 2: for t = 0 to T - 1 do 3:  $v_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \nabla f(x_t), v \rangle$ 4:  $x_{t+1} \leftarrow x_t + \gamma_t(v_t - x_t)$ 5: end for

Similarly, many variants available

- Batch versions. Rather than just taking one stochastic gradient, sample and average a mini batch. This also reduces variance of the gradient estimator.
- Learning rate schedules. To ensure convergence the learning rate  $\eta$  is dynamically managed.

As before choose an unbiased gradient estimator  $\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)] = \nabla f(x_t)$ .

Algorithm Stochastic Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (SFW)

1:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ 2: for t = 0 to T - 1 do 3:  $v_t \leftarrow \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \langle \tilde{\nabla} f(x_t), v \rangle$ 4:  $x_{t+1} \leftarrow x_t + \gamma_t(v_t - x_t)$ 5: end for

Similarly, many variants available

- Batch versions. Rather than just taking one stochastic gradient, sample and average a mini batch. This also reduces variance of the gradient estimator.
- Learning rate schedules. To ensure convergence the learning rate  $\eta$  is dynamically managed.
- Variance Reduction. Compute exact gradient once in a while as reference point, e.g., SVRF, SVRCGS, ...



Same setup as before. SGD and SFW as solvers.

Same setup as before. SGD and SFW as solvers.



#### Same setup as before. SGD and SFW as solvers.



#### Same setup as before. SGD and SFW as solvers.



#### Same setup as before. SGD and SFW as solvers.



Performance for Neural Network trained on MNIST.

#### More details and experiments in the exercise...

## Thank you!